# Management of the Axilla

A series of classic randomized trials — including NSABP B-04 — formed the basis for level I and II axillary node dissection becoming a standard of care for invasive breast cancer. The emergence of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) as an initial staging procedure has led to a new generation of trials evaluating the need for axillary dissection in women with both pathologically negative nodes and positive nodes. A critical, related question is the interpretation of micrometastases in both the sentinel lymph node and bone marrow. The value of treating the axilla in elderly women is also being examined, as well as the potential for treating the axilla with radiotherapy.

## PHASE III PROGNOSTIC STUDY OF SENTINEL

PHASE III RANDOMIZED STUDY OF AXILLARY **EN WITH** 

25TH ANNUAL

SAN ANTONIO

SYMPOSIUM

BREAST CANCER

### **RATIONALE FOR AXILLARY DISSECTION**

There are three reasons to do axillary dissection: regional control, staging and to improve survival. For staging, we have enough literature from around the world to tell us the accuracy of sentinel node biopsy. For regional control, surgery results in almost 100% control, as does radiation therapy, so before we abandon something that works very well, we have to be very careful. We don't have any longterm data on regional control for sentinel node. Regarding survival — there may be a survival advantage in controlling the axilla. The few studies that looked at this were done in an era when we randomized hundreds of patients, not thousands of patients, so the statistical power was not there. I've personally never done a sentinel node procedure in a breast cancer case outside of a clinical trial. I'm not going to say that it shouldn't be done — this is a judgment call. But in terms of making the claim that sentinel node is as good as axillary dissection, we don't have the data and we are in an era of evidence-based medicine.

	NODE AND BONE MARROW MICROMETASTASES IN WOMEN WITH STAGE I OR IIA BREAST CANCER Open Protocol Protocol IDs: ACOSOG-Z0010, GUMC-00152 Projected Accrual: 5,300 patients			LYMPH NODE DISSECTION IN WOME STAGE I OR IIA BREAST CANCER WE A POSITIVE SENTINEL NODE — Open Protocol IDs: ACOSOG-Z0011, GUMC-00153 Projected Accrual: 1,900 patients			
	Eligibility	Stage I or IIA breast carcinoma within 60 days of planned sentinel lymph node dissection		Eligibility	Positive sentinel ACOSOG Z-10 tri breast conservat	ial (Z-10 r	
All patients receive w supraclavicular field) and systemic adjuvant		Bilateral anterior iliac crest bone marrow aspiration to test for micrometastases → lumpectomy+SLND Sentinel node + ACOSOG - 20011 eceive whole breast radiotherapy (excluding a lar field) 5 days a week, for a maximum of 8 weeks, adjuvant therapy as indicated. no sentinel node identified intraoperatively and sentinel node metastases identified by H & E who		ARM 1ALND involving removal of I and II nodes, followed by radiotherapy (exclusive of a supraclavicular field) 5 days for a maximum of 7 weeksARM 2Breast radiotherapy only as Patients in both arms may receive adjuvant sy the discretion of the treating physician.			
	Study Contact: Armando E Giuliano, Chair. Tel: 310-829-8089 American College of Surgeons Oncology GroupSource: NCI Physician Data Query, October 2002			Study Contact: Armando E Giuliano, Chair. Tel: 310-829 American College of Surgeons Oncology Source: NCI Physician Data Query, October 2002			
	NODE DIS CONVENT WOMEN W	RANDOMIZED STUDY OF SENTINEL SECTION WITH OR WITHOUT IONAL AXILLARY DISSECTION IN VITH CLINICALLY NODE-NEGATIVE		TRIALS EV Protocol ID EORTC-10981, EORTC-10981- AMAROS	ALUATING AXILL Eligibility T0-2, N0; clinically	ARY DISS Schema ARM 1:	

**BREAST CANCER** — Open Protocol Protocol ID: NSABP-B-32 Projected Accrual: 4,000 patients (2,000 per arm)

HO HAVE en Protocol

om requires apy)

at least level whole breast third a week,

### in ARM 1

### ystemic therapy at

9-8089 gy Group

### SSECTION

Protocol ID	Eligibility	Schema
EORTC-10981, EORTC-10981- AMAROS	TO-2, NO; clinically negative axilla; Sentinel node+	ARM 1: Complete ALND ARM 2: Axillary node radiotherapy
CNR-9502, EU-95020	Age 65-80, postmenopausal,	ARM 1: Quadrantectomy with level I & II ALND

—David Krag, MD

### RATIONALE FOR ACOS Z-11 TRIAL

Many surgeons believe that axillary dissection is therapeutic, and they are reluctant not to perform axillary dissection in sentinel node-positive patients. However, a number of randomized studies failed to show that axillary dissection improves survival. In sentinel node-positive women, the sentinel node may be enough because often it's the only involved node.

Virtually all node-positive women in this country receive adjuvant systemic therapy, and many patients are also receiving opposed tangential field radiation. In studies where patients received lumpectomy with radiation and no axillary dissection, the axillary recurrence rate was extraordinarily low. I think ACOS Z-11 is a very important, very justifiable and ethical trial. For an operation that's been used for 100 years, it's time to answer the question about the need for axillary dissection.

*—Armando Giuliano, MD* 

#### CLINICAL TRIALS OF SLNB

EligibilityClinically node-negative breast cancerARM 1Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB)	EU-95020	Age 05-00, postmenopausal, stage I, ER-positive	ARM 2: Quadrantectomy ARM 2: Quadrantectomy without ALND	
with axillary dissection         ARM 2         SLNB ⇒ positive → axillary dissection         negative → no axillary dissection         Note: If no sentinel node is identified, then patients undergo axillary dissection.	EU-93013, IBCSG-10- 93, NCI-F93-0008	Age ≥60, postmenopausal, stage I or IIA, no prior axillary clearance or biopsy allowed	ARM 1: Mastectomy, lumpectomy or quadrantectomy with axillary clearance, SLNB optional ARM 2: Surgery as in Arm 1 without	
Study Contact: David N Krag, Chair. Ph: 802-656-5830 National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Source: NCI Physician Data Query, October 2002	SLNB=sentinel lyn	axillary clearance         ph node dissection         ph node biopsy         tian Data Query, October 2002		
<ul> <li>SELECT PUBLICATIONS</li> <li>Clarke D et al. Sentinel node biopsy in breast cancer: ALMANAC trial. <i>World J Surg</i> 2001;25(6):819-22.</li> <li>DiFronzo LA et al. Does sentinel lymphadenectomy improve staging and alter therapy in elderly women with breast cancer? <i>Ann Surg Oncol</i> 2000;7(6):406-410.</li> <li>Giuliano AE et al. Prospective observational study of sentinel lymphadenectomy without further axillary dissection in patients with sentinel node-negative breast cancer. <i>J Clin Oncol</i> 2000;18:2553-2559.</li> <li>Grube BJ, Giuliano AE. Observation of the breast cancer patient with a tumor-positive sentinel node: Implications of the ACOSOG Z0011 trial. <i>Semin Surg Oncol</i> 2001;20(3):230-237.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the B-32 study. Sem S</li> <li>Krag DN et al. Radiol the National Cancer I</li> <li>Lucci A Jr et al. Nation for breast carcinoma.</li> <li>McMasters KM et al. S</li> <li>suitable alternative to practice when optima</li> <li>Morrow M et al. Learn</li> </ul>	abeled sentinel node l abeled sentinel node l institute. World J Surg nal practice patterns of J Am Coll Surg 2001;1 Sentinel lymph node l routine axillary disse I technique is used. J ning sentinel node bic	biopsy: Collaborative trial with 2001;25(6):823-828. of sentinel lymph node dissection	

NSABP trial B-04 showed no difference in survival outcome between axillary dissection at the time of diagnosis and delayed axillary dissection if clinically positive nodes developed. Since that trial didn't show a survival difference, is it reasonable to expect that NSABP B-32 would? I think that's a very open question. However, B-32 will tell us about the clinical false-negative rate when many surgeons do sentinel node biopsy, which is an important issue to inform patients about. The ACOS trial addresses the much more controversial question of whether to remove axillary nodes after the patient has been staged as node-positive. It challenges the dogma that people have had for years.

-Monica Morrow, MD

### ACCRUAL TO SENTINEL NODE TRIALS

In some ways, sentinel node mapping is becoming a victim of its own success. As surgeons realize that it is not a terrific technical feat to learn, and as more patients become aware of it through the Internet and other sources, it will become harder and harder to find both patients and physicians willing to participate in these randomized clinical trials. —Patrick Borgen, MD

Copyright © 2002 NL Communications, Inc. All rights reserved. Poster information is for educational purposes only and not to be used in patient care. Please see full prescribing information and protocols.