Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy

Randomized clinical trials have demonstrated that while neoadjuvant systemic therapy downstages tumors and improves the chance for breast conservation, disease-free and overall survival seem to be similar to using treatment postoperatively. A new generation of neoadjuvant studies is evaluating a variety of strategies including dose-intensive chemotherapy, the addition of taxanes and the synergistic XT combination — capecitabine/docetaxel. The neoadjuvant setting is also being utilized to evaluate new systemic agents and predictors of tumor response, including DNA microarray analysis.

NSABP B-27 TRIAL: PHASE III RANDOMIZED STUDY OF PREOPERATIVE DOXORUBICIN AND CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE (AC) VERSUS PREOPERATIVE AC FOLLOWED BY DOCETAXEL VERSUS PREOPERATIVE AC AND POSTOPERATIVE DOCETAXEL IN WOMEN WITH OPERABLE CARCINOMA OF THE BREAST

NSABP B-18: CLINICAL OUTCOME AND NINE-YEAR FOLLOW-UP OF 1,523 PATIENTS RECEIVING PREOPERATIVE AC VERSUS POSTOPERATIVE AC CHEMOTHERAPY

	Pre-op AC	Post-op AC
Lumpectomy	67%	60%
Pathologic Nodal Status Negative Positive	59% 41%	43% 57%
Survival*	69%	70 %
DFS*	55%	53 %
IBTR *	10.7%	7.6%

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF NEOADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY ON BREAST CANCER MANAGEMENT

I view induction chemotherapy as a positive trend because you do not lose anything, and there is a higher likelihood of being able to do a lumpectomy with a much better cosmetic result. It also provides an *in vivo* chemosensitivity assay. This trend will also allow us to start looking at minimally invasive surgery to the primary tumor.

I predict that in the next decade we will move away from axillary node dissection. Sentinel node biopsy may be a transition maneuver in this regard, because people do not yet feel totally comfortable giving up the nodal status information. But once we start using systemic therapy first, the remaining question relates to treatment of what's left of the primary tumor. The research question then would be, Do you need to take

Closed Protocol

Eligibility	Clinically palpable, node-negative
	and node-positive breast cancer

ARM 1 AC x 4 + TAM \rightarrow SURGERY

ARM 2 AC x 4 + TAM \rightarrow T x 4 \rightarrow SURGERY

ARM 3 AC x 4 + TAM \rightarrow SURGERY \rightarrow T x 4

AC=doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide; T=docetaxel; TAM=tamoxifen po x 5 years

Patients undergoing breast-conserving surgery received radiation therapy.

SOURCE: NSABP website, November 2002.

NSABP B-27: TYPE OF SURGERY AND PATHOLOGIC FINDINGS AFTER PREOPERATIVE CHEMOTHERAPY

	AC	AC → T	P-value
Lumpectomy	61.4%	63.1%	p=0.70
Pathologic CR	13.7%	25.6%	p<0.001
Node-neg	50.7%	58.1%	p=0.0004
Deaths	2	6	
Grade 4 toxicity	10%	24%	

DERIVED FROM: NSABP Presentation, 2001 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium.

PHASE III RANDOMIZED STUDY OF NEOADJUVANT DOXORUBICIN, CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE AND PACLITAXEL WITH OR WITHOUT FILGRASTIM (G-CSF) IN WOMEN WITH INFLAMMATORY OR LOCALLY ADVANCED BREAST CANCER Open Protocol

Protocol ID: SWOG-S0012, CTSU Projected Accrual: 350 patients (175 per arm) *DERIVED FROM:* Fisher B et al. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:2483-2493. *Wolmark N et al. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 2001;30:96-102.

NSABP TRIAL OF PREOPERATIVE DOXORUBICIN AND CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE (AC) FOLLOWED BY DOCETAXEL VERSUS PREOPERATIVE AC FOLLOWED BY CAPECITABINE AND DOCETAXEL (XT)

Proposed Protocol

ARM 1 AC x 4 \rightarrow docetaxel x 4 \rightarrow surgery

ARM 2 AC x 4 \rightarrow docetaxel/capecitabine \rightarrow surgery

SOURCE: Eleftherios Mamounas, Personal Communication, November 2002.

PHASE III STUDY OF CHEMOTHERAPY AND SURGERY COMPARING ADJUVANT DOXORUBICIN FOLLOWED BY CMF (CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE, METHOTREXATE AND FLUOROURACIL) VERSUS ADJUVANT DOXORUBICIN AND PACLITAXEL FOLLOWED BY CMF VERSUS PRIMARY DOXORUBICIN AND PACLITAXEL FOLLOWED BY CMF IN WOMEN WITH OPERABLE BREAST CANCER AND TUMOR GREATER THAN 2 CENTIMETERS Open Protocol Protocol IDs: EU-97001, INT-23/96 Projected Accrual: 450 patients per arm

Eligibility Operable breast cancer with a tumor > 2 cm

the patient to the operating room at all? — Eva Singletary, MD

RESPONSE RATES IN NSABP B-27

Preoperative doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel increased both the clinical and pathologic response rates compared to preoperative doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide alone. The clinical response rate increased from 85% to 91%, with the complete response rate improving from 40% to 65%. Of even greater importance, the pathologic response rate essentially doubled.

The median tumor size in B-27 was 4.5 cm; whereas, the median tumor size in B-18 was about 2.2 cm. Surprisingly, the pathologic response rate for doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide was the same in both trials, indicating that a tumor will respond to neoadjuvant chemotherapy regardless of its size. Since B-27 involved eight cycles of therapy, there may have been a natural selection to enroll higher-risk patients with larger tumors.

-Eleftherios Mamounas, MD

PROPOSED NEOADJUVANT

CAPECITABINE/DOCETAXEL TRIAL

In light of the B-27 trial results, we are designing a neoadjuvant trial that will compare doxorubicin/ cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel with or without capecitabine. This trial is based on Dr O'Shaughnessy's study, which demonstrated that capecitabine/docetaxel improved survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Since B-27 established that preoperative docetaxel almost doubled the pathologic response rate, we want to see if adding capecitabine will further increase the pathologic response.

Eligibility Inflammatory or locally advanced breast cancer, Stage IIB or IIIA/B. ER-negative if disease is not inflammatory

ARM 1 | AC q3w x 5 → surgery

ARM 2 [A qw + Co qd + G-CSF] x 15 \rightarrow surgery

A=doxorubicin; C=IV cyclophosphamide; Co=oral cyclophosphamide; G-CSF=filgrastim ; T= Paclitaxel

Within 3-6 weeks after completion of chemotherapy, patients with stable or responsive disease undergo surgical resection of tumor and affected nodes.

Study Contact: Georgiana Kehr Ellis, Chair Tel: 206-288-2048 Southwest Oncology Group

SOURCE: NCI Physician Data Query, February 2003.

ARM 1 Surgery \rightarrow A x 4 \rightarrow CMF x 4

ARM 2 Surgery \rightarrow AT x 4 \rightarrow CMF x 4

ARM 3 AT x 4 \rightarrow CMF x 4 \rightarrow Surgery

A=doxorubicin; T=paclitaxel

All patients have either mastectomy or breast-conserving surgery; patients with unclear surgical margins may have a second surgery (re-resection or total mastectomy) or radiotherapy. Lymph node dissection is performed up to at least the second level.

At the end of the combined surgery plus chemotherapy approach (arms I and II) or after surgery (arm III), ER/PR-positive patients receive tamoxifen x 5 years.

Study Contact: Gianni Bonadonna, Chair. Tel: 2-239-02352,Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura dei TumoriSOURCE: NCI Physician Data Query, February 2003.

This trial will also assess many biomarkers, both before and after chemotherapy, with sequential core biopsies. We will attempt to identify molecular biomarkers, with DNA microarray and high through-put technology that can predict the response to chemotherapy.

We particularly want to determine if docetaxel is upregulating thymidine phosphorylase. Based on data from B-18 and B-27, sentinel node biopsy alone will be allowed for patients with a pathologic complete response. We will evaluate whether neoadjuvant chemotherapy can reduce the extent of surgery, not only in the breast but also in the axilla. *—Eleftherios Mamounas, MD*

SELECT PUBLICATIONS

The effect on primary tumor response of adding sequential Taxotere to Adriamycin and cyclophosphamide: Preliminary results from NSABP protocol B-27. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001;Abstract 5.

Aapro MS. Neoadjuvant therapy in breast cancer: Can we define its role? *Oncologist* 2001;6 Suppl 3:36-39.

Chollet P et al. **Prognostic significance of a complete pathological response after induction chemotherapy in operable breast cancer.** *Br J Cancer* 2002;86(7):1041-1046.

Cleator S et al. **The biology of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer**. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2002;9(3):183-195.

Green M, Hortobagyi GN. **Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for operable breast cancer.** *Oncology (Huntingt)* 2002;16(7):871-84, 889; discussion 889-90, 892-4, 897-898.

Mamounas EP, Fisher B. **Preoperative (neoadjuvant) chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer.** *Semin Oncol* 2001;28(4):389-399.

Smith IC et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: Significantly enhanced response with docetaxel. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20(6):1456-1466.

Smith IC, Miller ID. Issues involved in research into the neoadjuvant treatment of breast cancer. *Anticancer Drugs* 2001;12 Suppl 1:S25-29.

Copyright © 2003 NL Communications, Inc. All rights reserved. Poster information is for educational purposes only and not to be used in patient care. Please see full prescribing information and protocols.